## CALIFORNIA BOARD OF BARBERING AND COSMETOLOGY



**JANUARY 22, 2024** 

### **ENFORCEMENT AND INSPECTIONS COMMITTEE**

Department of Consumer Affairs HQ2 Hearing Room #186 1747 North Market Blvd Sacramento, CA 95834

and

**Public Teleconference** 



### CALIFORNIA BOARD OF BARBERING AND COSMETOLOGY



MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE Danielle Munoz, Chair Tonya Fairley Kellie Funk Jacob Rostovsky Steve Weeks ENFORCEMENT AND INSPECTIONS COMMITTEE MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA

Action may be taken on any item listed on the agenda.

January 22, 2024

Department of Consumer Affairs HQ2 Hearing Room #186 1747 North Market Blvd Sacramento, CA 95834

10:00 a.m. or upon Adjournment of the Licensing and Examinations Committee Meeting – Until Completion of Business

Committee members will participate remotely from private, non-public sites. The public may participate in-person or remotely. To participate in the WebEx Events meeting, please log on to this website the day of the meeting:

https://dca-meetings.webex.com/dcameetings/j.php?MTID=mcfc79d538aa407cc5ddb9a06105dc004

Webinar number: 2486 727 6348 Webinar password: BBC122

Instructions to connect to the meeting can be found at:

https://www.barbercosmo.ca.gov/about us/meetings/how to join webex event.pdf

Members of the public may but are not obligated to provide their names or personal information as a condition of observing or participating in the meeting. When signing into the WebEx platform, participants may be asked for their name and email address. Participants who choose not to provide their names will be required to provide a unique identifier such as their initials or another alternative, so that the meeting moderator can identify individuals who wish to make public comment; participants who choose not to provide their email address may utilize a fictitious email address in the following sample format: XXXXX@mailinator.com.

In order to ensure all public members have an opportunity to speak and in the interest of time, public comments will be limited to two minutes unless, in the discretion of the committee, circumstances require a shorter period or longer period; members of the public will not be permitted to "yield" their allotted time to other members of the public to make comments.

As an alternative, members of the public who wish to observe the meeting without making public comment can do so (provided no unforeseen technical difficulties) at <u>https://thedcapage.wordpress.com/webcasts/</u>.

#### AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order/ Roll Call/ Establishment of Quorum
- 2. Discussion and Possible Approval of the September 11, 2023, Committee Meeting Minutes
- 3. Discussion and Possible Recommendation Regarding Criteria for Citing Practice of Medicine
- Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda Note: The Committee may not discuss or take any action on any item raised during this public comment section, except to decide whether to place the matter on the agenda of a future meeting (Government Code Sections 11125, 11125.7(a))
- 5. Suggestions for Future Agenda Items
- 6. Adjournment

The time and order of agenda items are subject to change at the discretion of the Committee Chair and may be taken out of order. In accordance with the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, all meetings of the Committee are open to the public.

\*Government Code section 11125.7 provides the opportunity for the public to address each agenda item during discussion or consideration by the Committee prior to the Committee taking any action on said item. Members of the public will be provided appropriate opportunities to comment on any issue before the Committee, but the Committee Chair may, at his or her discretion, apportion available time among those who wish to speak. Individuals may appear before the Committee to discuss items not on the agenda; however, the Committee can neither discuss nor take official action on these items at the time of the same meeting (Government Code sections 11125, 11125.7(a)).

The meeting is being held via WebEx Events. The meeting is accessible to the physically disabled. A person who needs disability-related accommodation or modification in order to participate in the meeting may make a request by contacting: Natalie Mitchell at (916) 244-6644, email: natalie.mitchell@dca.ca.gov, or send a written request to the Board of Barbering and Cosmetology, PO Box 944226, Sacramento, CA 94244. Providing your request is a least five (5) business days before the meeting will help to ensure availability of the requested accommodations. TDD Line: (916) 322-1700.

# Agenda Item No. 1 No Attachment

#### DRAFT CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF BARBERING AND COSMETOLOGY

#### ENFORCEMENT AND INSPECTIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

#### MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2023

#### **BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT**

#### Danielle Munoz, Chair Tonya Fairley Kellie Funk Steve Weeks

#### STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Kristy Underwood, Executive Officer Carrie Harris, Deputy Executive Officer Sabina Knight, Board Legal Counsel Allison Lee, Board Project Manager Natalie Mitchell, Board Analyst

#### BOARD MEMBER ABSENT

Jacob Rostovsky

## 1. AGENDA ITEM #1, CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL/ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM

Danielle Munoz called the meeting to order at approximately 10:29 a.m. and confirmed the presence of a quorum.

## 2. AGENDA ITEM #2, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF THE MARCH 13, 2023, COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

**Motion:** Ms. Funk moved to approve the March 13, 2023, Committee Meeting Minutes. Ms. Fairley seconded.

No comments were received from the public.

Motion to approve March 13, 2023, Committee Meeting Minutes carried; 4 yes, 0 no, and 0 abstain, per roll call vote as follows:

The Committee Members voted "Yes": Danielle Munoz, Tonya Fairley, Kellie Funk, and Steve Weeks.

#### 3. AGENDA ITEM #3, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CRITERIA FOR CITING PRACTICE OF MEDICINE

Ms. Underwood pointed out the information provided to members in their packets. She explained that this started with the discussion on the increase of cryotherapy and body slimming that has been happening. Board members have started looking at what is being done to cite the practice of medicine. The Board has a statute that says, "This chapter confers no authority to practice or surgery" and has California Code of Regulations Section 991. This Code discusses invasive procedures and what those might include. The Code also states that a product, device, machine, or other technique or combination of such which results in removal, destruction, incision, or piercing of a client's skin. Invasive procedures include application of electricity which visibly contracts

the muscle. The Code also discusses application of topical lotions, creams, serums, or other substances which require a medical license to purchase. Penetration of the skin by any needles except for electrolysis needles, abrasion, exfoliation of the skin below the epidermal layers, and removal of the skin by any means of a razor edge tool. An update is being provided of what has been done and a meeting was held with other Boards within the Department of Consumer Affairs. The meeting consisted of officers from the Medical Board, the Osteopathic Medical Board, the California Board of Registered Nursing, the Dental Board of California, Physician's Assistant Board, the Department of Consumer Affairs Executive Office, as well as Department of Consumer Affairs Division of Investigation. During the meeting, all of the machines being found and cited were discussed. There will be a follow up meeting and the first meeting was a good opener to bridge gaps seen in salons and what should be under other entities. There will be another meeting where Boards will discuss specific machines and go into the modality performance and gather input.

No action is needed from the Committee at this time and more of an update will be provided at the next meeting. Kristy Underwood explained that the Disciplinary Review Committee (DRC) understands that body slimming is growing in the industry and is being targeted to licensees from manufacturers. DRC recognizes this and is trying to educate the agencies on a first offense and then will do a follow-up inspection. If the establishment is continuing to do that service, they will then be cited. As those cycle through, if a licensee does appeal, DRC will start to see those at a later time where the licensee has been educated by the inspector, cite and fine staff, and are still continuing this process. Notifications will be increased to licensees doing that to remind them the service is out of their scope.

Tonya Fairley asked if it was discussed how the Medical Boards will also get the information out that this is their responsibility and if you must have particular licensing. Ms. Underwood confirmed and shared that they have been working on joint statements. Kellie Funk asked if the Board is leading the charge with all of the other Boards and Ms. Underwood confirmed. Ms. Funk asked if the warning before the fine is new. Ms. Underwood confirmed. The fine is \$1000 and there have been people that have been very misled. Ms. Underwood shared that she was at the Face and Body Trade Show in Sacramento and it was being marketed to estheticians while she was there. People tend to believe that saying it is noninvasive means it is okay but there are lots of noninvasive things that are not allowed such as fibroblasts. Something being invasive is one piece of a violation and an item can still be noninvasive but not within the individual's scope of practice. Scope Cards were created to show what can be done by each of the license types

Steve Weeks stated that California Code of Regulations section 991(a) says "No licensees may use a product or device." It is seen in DRC a lot that some have attachments and Mr. Weeks asked if that means licensees should not use the machine at all. Ms. Underwood explained that the intent of the service needs to be discussed and if the intent goes above what is expected from a licensed esthetician. There are no enforcements restricting attachments on machines and the Board cannot make

licensees remove those items. Individuals often do not know that they are doing it illegally. Radio frequency is too high of a heat for licensees to use and a lot of the machines use "radiofrequency" as a marketing tool.

Ms. Fairley shared that she is glad to be looking at how licensees are being penalized for having this. There are often times when individuals genuinely did not know or understand. Ms. Fairley hopes that other Boards start sooner than later with getting information out to the general population. The Board does not have any authority over who is able to attend or sell in trade shows. Often times, trade shows are also open to medical spas and physicians. People come from out of state to attend. It is up to the licensee to do the due diligence of finding out.

No comments were received from the public.

#### 4. AGENDA ITEM #4, REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF NEW SCHOOL ENFORCEMENT WEBPAGE

Kristy Underwood explained that the first formal action of a school was taken in April, and it was realized that there was no location to notify people what was being done about schools. A link was created on the website to show what is being done in the event of future actions against schools. This action would only be formal discipline. For this action, members went all the way to the Attorney General's Office and received a revocation of their approval. There are a few other cases being worked on regarding schools and it is great timing for other schools to witness the formal actions being taken. Ms. Underwood explained that the team hopes to have more formal actions made with the other issues being investigated.

New School Enforcement information can be found on a new tab on the website and will remain on the site forever. Ms. Munoz asked how it was known that the school had disciplinary action. Ms. Underwood explained that this was something known about the school for many years. A joint inspection was done with the Bureau of Private Postsecondary Education because of concerns about the school in the past. What was found was enough to revoke the license completely.

Ms. Munoz asked what the process includes to decide to look into the school. Ms. Underwood stated that a lot of complaints are received from students and other schools. The team must weed through the complaints that are truly a threat to the industry and proceed from there. Complaints will not be listed until formal action is taken.

#### **Public Comment:**

Fred Jones, Professional Beauty Federation, made two points. Mr. Jones's first point was that it is unfortunate that the Bureau of Private Postsecondary Education doesn't do their job even though the statutory scope of authority and the resources are available to investigate, prosecute, and go after these folks. Mr. Jones asked Ms. Underwood how many licensing fees she receives from the schools. Ms. Underwood stated zero. Mr. Jones stated that the Board staff is doing the work of BPPE without any funding attached to it. Mr. Jones stated that his second point is that it's why they believe the

Board should have sole regulatory and licensing oversight of both types of schools. The schools that are considered bad are known but the resources are needed to do the necessary legwork to properly and legally put these people out of business.

#### 5. AGENDA ITEM #5, PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

No comments were received from the public.

#### 6. AGENDA ITEM #6, SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

No suggestions for future agenda items were made.

#### 7. AGENDA ITEM #7, ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at approximately 10:48 a.m.

# Agenda Items No. 3-6 No Attachments